


London Boxing's Regional Questionnaire

What role do you play in the club

108 responses




- Registered coach
- Founder / CEO / Manager
- Another role within the club
- Club secretary
- Official
- Committee member
- Judge
- Club Secretary and Coach

▲ 1/2 ▼

DIGITAL BCR1s

Are you in favour of digital BCR1s?

106 responses




- Yes
- No
- Not sure
- Need to keep both
- Depends on the timescale
- I agree with progress but believe this...
- Unsure
- Don't mind the concept but think shoul...

▲ 1/3 ▼

Do you have concerns about digital BCR1s if you travel to box?


109 responses



- Yes
- No
- Obviously if there are system downtime or issues with IT then it could ruin a show, also although it sounds quite far...
- Club not in a position to comment yet
- Yes - human error is very possible so during the transition of at least a season, I think digital and physical with have to...

Do you have concerns about this affecting shows / the supervisor, the bout limit & the doctors?


109 responses



- Yes
- No
- This will obviously mean a huge transformation in terms of training, our sport has been kept alive by volunteers that have served us for decades. How can we expect from a time and value standpoint to retrain those that maybe aren't used to using a computer etc.

Do you think the doctors fees will increase for medicals as entering information will take longer?

108 responses




- Yes
- No
- Not sure
- I don't know
- What if data in incorrectly entered - w...
- I think they should do more to earn th...
- I think it will depend on the individual...
- Unsure

▲ 1/2 ▼

Are you concerned how previous medical history will be entered?


107 responses



- Yes
- No
- In terms of cost yes as it costs a boxer an extra £10 for photos there must be a easier digital way
- as much medical history as possible is a bonus in order to safeguard the boxers
- Absolutely - data breeches concern me. Currently that info is safe within a card held by the club

Are you happy for boxers to enter their own details?


109 responses



- Yes
- No
- Yes, with parent supervision for 'youth boxers' and below
- Depends on details
- This could create problems to access...
- I think there is a huge advantage havi...
- ABSOLUTELY NOT! It will be a disast...
- Depends - all clubs should be able to...
- Depends on the ages of the person etc

If a boxer is illiterate or English is not their first language; will this affect your club?


109 responses



- Yes
- No

Are you as a club lead concerned that you will not know all the information entered as parents and individuals will enter the data?


109 responses



- Yes
- No
- Unknown
- I would enter data, hopefully data can be transferred from the vault.
- We will do it with them
-
- Club should know all the information on a boxers record.
- I assumed only club "staff" would input...

Are you concerned how digital BCR1s will affect Box Cup tournaments and championships when boxers compete over consecutive days?


108 responses



- Yes
- No
- I think either way mistakes can be made
- Again there are so many variables that could effect the practicality of digital BCR1s working.
- Not sure
- Guess this will affect permission to box abroad and need entering after/a physical book

Are you concerned about the system not working / freezing or glitching?

109 responses




- Yes
- No
- Always will be problems when using online data in terms of internet etc
- Depends - physical cards during transition period is the failsafe.
- yes in case that the system doesn't work, what's to do?

COACHES REFRESHER COURSES

Are you in support of coaches doing refresher courses at ALL levels of experience, regardless of activity?

107 responses



- Yes
- No
- This is just a way of increasing revenue...
- If a coach is consistently registered an...
- If it is not at a cost and access is easy,...
- No - just level 1 coaches should be re...
- If active no need
- You learn in the gym working with box...

▲ 1/2 ▼

Why do you think refresher courses for level 2 and 3 coaches is being implemented?

Money

Money

Money making

To make money

Not sure

To make more revenue for EB.

To bring in funding to EB

Revenue

Money making exercise.

To earn more money, as there is no other reason, as none of the Universities and colleges across the world require their students to confirm their level 2 or 3 qualifications!

Its feasible that coaches practice is not up to date but equally trainers on courses may not have the same level of experience gained dai in day out at clubs

Money making exercise for EB

To raise finance for England Boxing

To maintain the standards of England boxing rules and guidelines

You can't teach experience, I feel that refresher should only be aimed at those that haven't been involved in the sport for a period of 3+ years. To make any coach regardless of level to do refreshers and possibly the courses all over again would be very disheartening.

No idea & if they have to do refresher courses they should not have been given a green light to coach. It doesn't make sense...

I think it would make more sense if some of the Current Judge's & referees did a refresher course....

For money. If actively registered and coaching you do not need it!

Generates EB money

Generates more money

Because otherwise some would conduct no CPD. I think it will just be a recap re rule changes and any salient points/learning rather than actual coaching.

To make more money for EB

To update knowledge

Too increase England Boxing revenue under the guise of maintaining standards

Making Money

For financial gain

No idea

Improving knowledge and skills

I do not know why as I have not been informed about the reasons behind such planned implementations.

Money making

To make money for England boxing, I can understand if they have had a break from training, but not if they are doing it all the time.

Don't know

To make sure coaches are kept fully up to date with the England Boxing ethos

To be up to date with all aspects of training rules & regulations thanks.

For non active coaches

To provide a monetary revenue

I think there needs to be some form of keeping up with the times

Due to the death of an amateur boxer where the father was coaching his son yet wasn't a qualified coach but held a pro licence .

Money making exercise

Most coaches have boxed and have good experience, rest is learnt on the job

Financial Gain

So they don't forget' how to teach'

If they have not been in the gym for a few years ' the course was hard and would not pass if they could not do the job

There is always new information/legislation, rules are constantly changing, coaches need to be kept updated

Standard is maintained.

Money to England boxing.

I don't see any reason for this action/implementation

I believe it's to make money

Because there has been a major mistake allowing an unqualified coach to be the chief second in a boxer's corner with tragic consequences

I believe its done to domostrate to higher government agencies there is continous learning and development in the sport for coaches, I feel if a refresher course is needed the coaches or clubs should pay for it and it should be funded centrally

Make money for England

As a money making scheme to make money for England boxing

I don't think it should be at all its just money making and time wasting we should be thinking about how the boxer get there boxing card on time so they can box.

Make England money if they active why needed

Money .. no other reason for it ..brings added expense for the mostly voluntary work of the coaches .

Expence

Because coaching methods change and coaches need to stay up-to-date with this.

Unsure

Just another opportunity for England Boxing to make money and charge coaches to continue doing the work that do on a voluntary basis

To make more money

Sadly I imagine it is an economical decision to raise more funds for EB boxing. Unless there were specific seminars that could add to our coaches I think it's a pointless exercise. It would be more useful having refresher training in rules etc. How can re offer coaches that have training olympic gold medalists refresher training?

Most industries, including my own have mandatory annual compliance training and ongoing and continuous professional development.

I am in favour of the principles of this initiative.

I would also be keen also if there were other ways to demonstrate compliance and minimum standards.

Keep boxers safe

Due to an amateur boxer dying.

They shouldn't do the course again. It feels like your questioning our ability to teach

Months

More money to England boxing

To keep us all up to date with new learning and development- as Coaches we should be open to developing and as people as well, not just Coaches. It can only help us improve which means our Boxers improve as well.

To keep up to date

Money, however it's always good to stay on top of things and learn new things coming to the sport.

For appearance sake, or insurances purposes

Some coaches are not current and have no desire to change

To keep the coaches updated with new coaching methods also update on Health & Safety.

Financial reasons

To keep updated

Revenue primarily; however, as things change over time, it's good to be kept up-to-date formally instead of constantly needing to check.

Update information

For more money

Not sure but probably so EB feel they have covered all basis

Because of inactive coaches coming back

I'm not entirely sure, I understand the level 1 refresher to an extent but level 2 upwards should be of an experience where no refresher is required

I can't see any reason for this. Doing the courses is secondary in importance to years of experience. The courses are a good thing but the real learning is done over many years and refreshers are totally pointless unless there are fundamental changes of rules etc to digest.

well I think refresh course, they're really good, as we coaches doing a lot of new things. and sometimes we forget the basics, and we have to remember that we work with all levels, starting with kids, ending up with elites boxers, this is my punt of view of doing refreshing courses.

It should not be the case, only level 1 coaches to do refreshers

Welfare of boxers

To generate more money

Some people are coaches who shouldn't be

Partially to uphold EB standards and partially money generation

£

Health and safty

To ensure the safety of boxers. And to be able to keep upto date with rules and procedures.

No most of these coaches have been qualified for over 20 years

Money-making opportunity for England boxing


For insurance purposes

Things change, better ways if coaching, better equipment becomes available so you need to be updated with the regulations and how best to provide teaching to boxers

Covering EB in case of any claims against them, and generating income

All lanyards will be issued by EB - Are you happy to have a centralise system and no regional assistance?

109 responses




- Yes
- No
- It's hard enough to find out about 1 la...
- Not sure
- Definitely not, there should be an opti...
- The only question is what role will the...
- The London registrar is a wealth of inf...

▲ 1/2 ▼

Do you currently have issues getting lanyards from EB?


106 responses



- Yes
- No

Once you complete a course (coaching/safeguarding/first aid, are you concerned about delays getting your lanyards back and authorisation to continue coaching?

109 responses



- Yes
- No
- Unknown
- Already proven I've been waiting for 3 months for a coaches lanyard
- Stopped us doing courses already
- Unsure of turn around times.
- Depends - process needs to extremely efficient.

Championship Entry system


Are you happy with the system the London championship lead has put in place for our championships?

109 responses




Are you concerned that EB will hold all the championship data?

109 responses




Are you concerned about the retrieval of accurate information from EB? There will be no London support.

109 responses



Would you be in favour of a reversal of what's proposed and London manage the championship entries and give a percentage to EB?

109 responses



Do you foresee any issues with London not being in control of our championships?

Yes

No

Yes

Yes. London hold pre-Championship weigh-ins, often before other regions have started. Timescales would not fit for London who often have to have 3 or 4 shows to get a champion.

Yes. London hold pre-championship weigh-ins which often need to be before the national deadline of entry. London hold 3-4 rounds each championship and require entries earlier than EB could supply

No need to mend something thats not broken

Slow down the process

Yes. Selecting officials, dates, venues etc would surely be better placed with london (who have run them for years, without issue)

Yes, lots of delays, mismatch of the boxers, incorrect entries, not being eligible for the event entered, not checking previous experience, not getting the information needed prior to our weigh in structures, the system not being compatible with our draw system, creating double admin for London, leaving us in the dark and unable to have responses for our clubs

London has been an excellent administration to date with readily accessible information in quick time. I've already experienced the response from England Boxing and it's not great. It will only be even slower with centralised systems and all regions handing over administrative. If England Boxing continue to lead and oversee current practice I don't see why it couldn't continue to work. Yes implementation of BCR1 digital but still use regional support. It's critical at this stage otherwise the danger is the system will not be bedded in. In time it may be feasible to move to a fully centralised system but too much too soon may break the current good work in place

Yes, they won't have enough knowledge of the region

Yes (EB are generally very slow and disorganised) this will not be good for London championships.

Yes. Administrative.

If it's not broken, don't fix it. Our championships are run excellently

Why change something if it's not broken.. EB Needs to give everyone a reason to why they need to change

Poorly run and managed championships for profit, with blame falling on London for failure.

EB do not have regional insight and nor do they attempt to. Our region has worked hard to develop a system that works well for our membership. I can see that it will all unravel under a centralised system as one size does not fit all.

Not sure

Not due to losing control but EB need to work closely with London. I'm still not sure how the logistics will work and EB say the funding will still be the same??

Yes as not enough has been explained at Club level yet

Yes lack of communication

Very much so

Yes, procedures not running smoothly, delays being caused and some clubs might not bother entering.

Yes why change a system that is not broken, London is probably one of the biggest regions and works well

Yes, as London have dealt with our championships all the time and there is never any issues. They are brilliant at giving out information as when it gets to later stages England boxing takes too long to release draws making it difficult to plan, accommodation and travel.

Yes, especially with appointment of officials and scheduling clashes.

Yes allocation of shows & championships who would contact me to offer availability?

No I think it will be fairer

Yes will be delays for information as turnover of EB staff is high.

To many to mention! But undermining London is nothing short of a power grab

Yes, perhaps bias decisions

Yes, London region leads by example the work done by all those involved is faultless and under appreciated.

Yes as in London, our Regional man Reggie is always at hand to give advice and solve problems and most of the time out of normal working hours unlike yourself are going to be

I foresee many issues with London not being in Control, too many to list !!!!

Delays and mistakes

Yes they have done a great job over the years

Major issues, the representatives of London are easily contactable, we usually have to wait a couple of days after contacting EB before they respond. Some questions and as situations arise need immediate attention

Confusion with entries, deadlines, expenses

They have been successfully conducting this for years I think they should carry on

I feel it would be run better if London was in control.

Yes, enthusiastic amateurs know more, & are easier to contact than paid employees NB it is amateur boxing

Yes! I can't speak for other regions but we feel very lucky to be in London and have London managing championships we do not need to fix what is not broken

Yeah shambles and EB never get back to us quickly.

Yes .. accountability local regions know best how their regions operate and their needs

Possibly, with ease of entry, the current system works well

Yes I feel if London have no control over regional championships would we get the right support from EB.

It works as it is now

Again the region is managed well and most are extremely helpful should any problems arise.

I think there is a lot of local knowledge that London Boxing has which in turn allows us to enjoy highly competitive and well run championships. The lived experience of coaches and those who head up the region will be missed greatly if this was to change.

Yes. In my experience it has worked very well up to now with very experienced people doing a great job - I don't see why it needs to change.

Unsure

Lack of speed and flexibility

Loss of local knowledge

The support we get from London, security, venues

I don't see how regions can't be involved, as they know locally than EB.

Support

Accuracy is usually easier when things are managed by those closer to the ground

Yes! The London region seems to be managed really well and all staff are accessible for support in all manner of things and are huge help for budding clubs like ours

Generally Eb are slow to react to anything

Yes. EB do not have local knowledge that the regions have.

well i mean, not being in control but at be aware of what's going on!

Could be a good idea to have one massive national pool of boxers and draws done

Region should be in Control

Difficult getting information

There needs to be a process involving the users/controllers if it it going to be handed over

Does EB appreciate the scale of London in comparison with other regions. How is this going to be addressed?

100 percent our region is east to access EB is not


I can foresee many issues with London not managing our own championships, such as date scheduling, appropriate venues and allocation of officials.

Chaos

SHOW PERMITS

Are you in favour of a centralised permit system?

109 responses



- Yes
- No
- I thought our contributions yearly covers all these expenses
- Not sure
- Im not sure what this entails as we havent had experi3nce in putting on a show yet

Do you have any views on 50% of the fee going to EB and how it will affect your club and the region?

Yes

No

London would be at a massive loss.

Yes. Not against a fee to be agreed, maybe each region having a set fee (tbc) and regions still issuing permits and paying EB per month for amount of shows held in region x agreed fee.

The regions will suffer financially so will effect clubs

Yes, what are EB doing to justify their percentage?

Yes, it will dramatically affect the region, which already sluggers with not enough funding for the shows, championships etc, and this will scrutinise it even more.

I dont see the rationale for this. What is it that England Boxing will do for the regions in taking this fee. Doesn't sound like a supportive system at all

I think it's terrible the region does all there hard work and then have to pay England boxing

Show permits should have nothing to do with EB . It should remain regional along with fees.

It is unjustified and will reduce the much needed funds for us clubs at a local level

I feel that 50% is far too much for EB to take from show permits. A fairer solution would be for us as a region to pay £20 for an open show, x amount for a dinner show and nothing for box cups. If permit costs increases by £20 to cover EB expense and the region doesn't lose out then I have no issue. Let the region be in control of our shows.

Yes

Lack of support will lead to poor running of shows in the region and lack of improvements

This is an outrageous suggestion. What do nothing and rip 50% from the region? I can see expenses going up as clubs will need to try to book doctor's who carry all the medical equipment that our region currently supply; doctors are already in short supply. These funds are also a regional income and are off-set against our championships, where we supply belts and look for higher-class venues for our regional NAC finals.

Payments should be controlled regionally.

It increases costs for shows

I feel it should be put back into the region, not used as a profit maker by EB

Yes - I don't see the value grassroots club get from this. The slides do not demonstrate what they will be invested in

It's just another way of them making money for nothing

I think less shows will happen reducing opportunities for boxers to box. Less officials will be available. All affecting the efficient running of the region and the running and survival of clubs.

I disagree with this. Clubs need more money to survive, not less. Club boxing is voluntary work provided by volunteers!

EB are just taking money needed by our region

I will make it harder for our region

My views are the region work hard and efficiently to provide everything needed to put shows on EB do nothing so why should they get 50%.

Why fix something that isn't broken

Yes. I think this will deprive regions of much needed financial support

Yes why should the money go to EB and not stay with in region

No realistic control and no relationship between club and region this will just become an impersonal computer says no environment

Clubs are run on a voluntary basis with very little funding etc . Why take 50% of a clubs revenue!

It's the region running the shows, why do EB need 50%

Yes far to high , let the regions sort out there own finances to much goes to Sheffield as it is

I cannot think of any good reason for this change.

Yes it will restrict regions spending

They need to keep it ' how it was before

If EB take 50% then the money doesn't funnel down to the clubs, it stays in EB

Unfair on the abc's

No idea it might affect the smaller clubs

Why should ebay get any of it as london are running the shows what are they doing takeing most of the money

20% maximum, remember it is amateur boxing

I feel the split should be in the region 10-20% and remaining funds to EB, without strong regions there is no boxing

Yes why should EB have this

Yes, that money should be going to the clubs or at least stay in the region

Yes, the permit money should be going to the club or the region

A lot

Yes what money do we get back of England ever.

Yes .. the region will be poorer and less able to function ... and will have to increase regional fees .

Yes, as each region should be in charge of their own and support clubs how they see fit. Centralising it means that regions will have no autonomy and potentially cause regions to close and cease to operate.

If they are still expecting volunteer officials to work at the shows why are we giving half the fee away to EB

EB should demonstrate what value they are providing for the club permit fee

No problem with 50% going to EB. Don't know how it would effect my club or the region I am in.

Too much being taken away from the region and local clubs.

Clubs struggle financially

I'm unsure how the money will be spent so hard to comment

Smaller clubs especially scrape round for funds and we are all volunteers. Our coaches don't even take expenses out of the club for fuel for travelling to bouts etc - so NO, not particularly happy EB getting 50% of the fees!

It sounds similar to how the wbc, wba etc. generate income from professional boxing

Not sure how it will affect the club but know it generated money for London

Having the right equipment for shows is important and if 50% of fees were given back this would limit the amount of equipment official have

EB need to clearly breakdown benefits and reasons for needing 50%. What will that money be allocated too?

I do not agree with this, the region is don't 99% of the work and should receive adequate compensation for the this

Need a break down of the 50% fee. Where its allocated and why first of all. Before I can comment

Amount is excessive if they provide nothing jn return. Quarterly payments down might also cause periods of difficulty in between payments

Again! I'm not sure what's involved so can not comment

Money being taken away from Region and costs of being hiked up to cover

Wot are the doing for the 50 percent cut

Less money for regional support cannot be a good thing. The money that goes to EB will not help clubs at a local level

i don't know how this will affect my club and region

What does the club get in return from eb?

Keep all permit funds to region

50% needs to be projected and run against current financial to understand the impact

What are EB providing in exchange for this 50%?

I think the region is in need of funding not eb


Regions need to be to raise money to help support the poorer clubs in the region and run squad trips and local champions. Without this money these won't take place. London is a well-run region and should be left alone. Maybe England boxing should model itself on London?

The 50% fee going to EB as opposed to London, will mean London does not have appropriate resources and funding given we are one of the busiest regions holding the most shows in addition to championship. The current system in London works brilliantly and is comprehensive and I feel that the proposed changes by EB will hugely negatively impact boxing in London. It seems likely it will become significantly more difficult to hold shows, organise adequate provision and allocate officials.

Why should they get money for no real input

Do you have concerns about information getting to clubs and the region?


108 responses



- Yes
- No
- EB are poor communicators
- Hoping that someone within head office Sheffield will have all the information t...
- Not in a position to comment on this
- YES! WE have excellent people in London Boxing, who work extremely h...
- I like information coming through the region as it's often and keeps the com...

Do you have concerns about us being able to allocate officials?

108 responses



- Yes
- No
- Don't really understand the question
- Yes concerned about being contacted...
- Unsure
- Not in a position to comment on this
- Yes - why would EB want to centralise...
- I don't know enough but the sport nee...

Do you have any other concerns about permits not being done regionally?

Yes

No

Yes

The region knows the venues and what restrictions some may have upon them and how many shows per day/night can be allowed re:officials allocation.

Each region has its own costings, London being much higher than everyone. London need to get more than potentially £50 per open permit to keep up the standard of supplying Resus units, scales and if required defibrillators via supervisors at shows to help clubs keep costs down for doctors.

Already stated that

Slow down the process

Not

Permits being given to multiple shows on the same days causing issues with officials placements and match making

Yes, it will cause lots of delays and last minute cancellations, which will again affect lots of clubs financially if they have to cancel shows last minute when everything else is already paid.

Its tough enough as it stands to get the voluntary support. With the current level of concerns I can see less eagerness and more resentment. This clearly is an example of it is not broke dont fix it

Yes the potential for problems which can easily be sorted at regional level

Yes. It makes sense for them to be done regionally. It makes no sense for it to be done centrally

Yes, I like the current system we have in place and one size does not fit all within England Boxing.

Difficulties in communication

Allocating officials will be difficult. Also each venue has been visited and passed as suitable by our Executive council. How will the venue requests on the permits be vetted? Some venues have special instructions for the supervisors but EB will have know care about this, which could put our officials in danger. The volunteers also have day jobs and cannot jump through hoops for EB information, which will no doubt fit a 9-5 Monday to Friday timetable.

No one wants that.

Not knowing venues/officials/characters who is responsible, pull outs won't be allocated in time etc

Possible delays

The region will not know what is going on. The clubs shows are no concern of EB.

Think there will also be delays, process take a lot longer, difficulties in providing officials if not done regionally. Less shows will then take place affecting the boxers participating and the functioning of clubs.

Yes, permits could just be done regionally.

Yes absolutely, it runs well as it is

London have relationships with clubs and this will effect clubs permits

At the moment the system works well, if EB book to many shows, how will London officials manage to cover.

No.

Yes will slow down

Regions are the lifeblood of the sport

Slow the process down

Yes as I've said it works excellent at Region why make it harder for all us coaches who do this for no wages unlike yourself

Yes delays

Unsure

Not at the moment

Yes why change it when London are doing such a good job.

Yes, why do you think there are local Mayors & Government

Yes, delays

Yes it will be too much having the whole country trying to arrange things together. Better in regions.

Yes will take longer

Yes .. speed of response.. lack of communication.. accountability

All about the ease and efficiency of service if multiple people are too maybe delays in applying

Yes. It an absolute crazy idea.

Not in a position to comment on this

No

Again, why does it need to be changed? Doesn't it work very well as it is?

It's good to have a regional manager.. local and quick responses

Yes, at the moment the system works PERFECTLY. The region know everything they need to know about our shows/venues etc. How will EB centrally, from a completely different part of the country be able to be flexible about changes, which unfortunately sometimes have to happen. I fear losing this regional control could even result in the loss of some shows.

I think centralisation is a retrograde step, centralisation only works when there are quality concerns, decentralisation works best when speed and flexibility is required

Yes, delays

Backlog and waiting times

There will be a bigger risk of generalised mayhem. One system does not fit all regions if this isn't understood properly.

I can only see the potential for overbooking in this case, if I have understood the question correctly

Yes if we have any issues we have to go to Eb who are slow to react

yes, how can't?

Boxers will lose out if this results in less shows.

Yes massively

Yes, it is hugely impractical for permits to not be managed on a regional basis. We are worried about delays in permits being granted and a lack of knowledge of the London region affecting whether shows are granted.

What questions do you have for us to present at the next CRM mtg at the end of April?

None

None

If EB want revenue as these changes strongly suggest, then why not leave things as they are but charge a fee (tbc) for permits and championship entry that is paid to EB by the regions?

Why do EB want to take the running of grassroots boxing away from regional committees? It's running well, why crush the regions.

Why interfere with a system that works really well

Nun

1. Electronic BCR1 cards. What happens in places with zero/little network coverage (no wifi)
2. Who will be supplying the equipment to run this electronically?
3. Does EB have the server capacity for multiple shows country wide accessing the databases at one time? What happens if it crashes?

None

None

If EB want revenue as these changes strongly suggest, then why not leave things as they are but charge a fee (tbc) for permits and championship entry that is paid to EB by the regions?

Why do EB want to take the running of grassroots boxing away from regional committees? It's running well, why crush the regions.

Why interfere with a system that works really well

Nun

1. Electronic BCR1 cards. What happens in places with zero/little network coverage (no wifi)
2. Who will be supplying the equipment to run this electronically?
3. Does EB have the server capacity for multiple shows country wide accessing the databases at one time? What happens if it crashes?
4. Does EB have to staff numbers to be able to accommodate all the admin requests quickly? (Lanyards, medicals etc)

Why these changes are required in the first place?

We fully apologise moving to new technology and really supportive of this initiative. However to lose the good practice developed over many years would be foolish. I strongly advocate for investment and involvement of the regional officers as they have excellent knowledge of how things operate, especially in London.

A system needs to be implemented to make the old fashioned way of BCR1 updated without effecting the Regional administration.

What will they do when with all these outrageous charges clubs start leaving again for the alliance for the pure reason that they care about the sustainability of their club

Why does EB think taking over issuing permits is a good idea?

1. Why are you being greedy and reducing the finances at a regional level?
2. Please justify why you are taking these decisions and please can you be specific and objective?
3. Are you aware that your actions are causing bad feeling amongst us and can quite probably cause us to think of leaving?

TBC

Need to

Paramedics instead of doctors. More qualified to handle on site treatment and much cheaper!

Why did the governance not seek regional input from the start?

What electronic equipment do the NGO expect supervisors to carry?

Can there be an emergency contact if there is an issue at a show? We do this regionally or club could lose a lot of money /time and effort that goes into every show.

When you keep talking about EB taking the risk, what risks do you take for club shows?

How can a governing body justify taking money from volunteers who keep the grass-roots going with very little input from EB?

Why not work with the valuable knowledge of the regions as they've bucket loads of experience; to ignore their hard work is totally disrespectful

What are you going to do about clubs now intent of leaving and not rejoining in June?

The regions have their own constitutions, agreed by their members. How do EB think they can disrupt such systems?

I would like them to explain for the increased revenue they will be receiving from regions/clubs how it will be invested in grassroots boxing

How would you be able to check a boxers record without a card at a show

Can England Boxing work together more with the London region to keep the good practices going and reduce the disruptions to all clubs, shows and competitions. Too many changes together will affect all processes negatively. The region does a lot for all clubs and their support and continuous good work is needed.

How to get more support (including financially) from England Boxing. This is a sport run by volunteers. Who gets paid a salary by England Boxing? How much are they paid?

How do EB capture all the data from BRC1's and what if they are duplicate cards

How do EB think they are going to cover all the regions.
How will we know what an opponent has been weighing in previous bouts and stoppages if there are no me3 cards to look at.
What will happen when boxing abroad with no cards.
Why change something that works well.

How do we vote to remove this agenda

Allocation of London shows and how they will be implemented. What there be a centralised supervisor covering the London region that can be approached should anyone have any concerns. The implementation of official judges upgrades & referee training will they be fairly disrupted. The allocation of specific responsibilities fairly distributed to all officials regardless of grades what clubs their officiated too, their relation & or friendship of any officials & or senior officials supervisor. Can every official be given this opportunity both male & female. Allocation & selection of female officials can this be allocated fairly given all female officials the opportunity & experience & to be asked if they wish to attend instead of alarmingly being allocated to certain individuals leaving all other female officials out, not being asked & not given a chance for progression, training etc. Can the selection & favouritism stop allowing every official within London region to be given an opportunity to progress, learn, train & attend international shows. Advertising this through centralised system Supervisor EB head office & for the centralised supervisor there can decide which officials can attend & who's already been given this opportunity when & how often. This then will give all other officials an opportunity. We need to have a supervisor based in Sheffield that can oversee everything in London, allocation of shows, complaints, favouritism, bullying, racism, sexism or anything else the officials, boxers, coaches & clubs that can be reported & spoke about having a non judgemental safe place etc

Why can courses not be run regionally

Just where do you (EB) think this is going?

Why are EB looking to fix something that is not Broken? London Region in my humble opinion works superbly, I have no problems whatsoever currently and foresee many issues going forward with this Digital Change.

If EB are taking a percentage of regional championships are they going to pay the same percentage towards running costs

Why are they trying to make coach's do the courses every few years if they have been in the gym

EB will obviously need to employ more people trying to implement these changes leaving less money for clubs so they can pay extra staff wages.
How many extra people would be needed?

Unsure

All responsibilities should be given to the regional authorities

Yes what's wrong with how it's being run at the minute and by changing it how will it be better

Amateur boxing relies on the officials personally knowing their local boxing clubs, coaches & active boxers

why regions was not consulted before such a massive roll out, the people managing large scale decisions are not on ground level

Please leave the process as it is.

Can you ask England to leave things as they are. If it ain't broke, don't fix it.

Why is the money EB make not going back into the grassroots

Why are they changing it, why can I not hold my own courses.

Why was there no consultation at all with the regional representatives... why is this new system being rushed through.. ?

Why is this being implemented when regions have operated successfully for years with no issues. Clubs have built excellent relationships with regional reps who work tirelessly to support clubs and boxers. Removing that layer of support and accountability is crazy and unheard of. it will be crippling at potentially cause the downfall of Amateur boxing.

What are the contingency plans if computers dont work, poor wifi, system downtimes etc.

Why is there lack of support and courses for south London clubs

Nonsense

All of the points above, plus: Will these centrally allocated people be available almost 24/7 like our current support is? I doubt it! So when there is an issue with time constraints, will the job get done, or will we start to lose boxers/shows/competitions etc because Sheffield take 3-5 business days (if you're lucky) to respond !? Big concerns all round really!

Why is the centralisation happening ?

What are the financial implications for both regions and EB?

How long will it take for Supervisors to be trained on the new computer system and how will this effect supervisors that are unable to use a computer

Matchmaking - EB proposed how they wanted The Vault to manage events and bout results. I want to see that materialise as some records to be inaccurate and misleading.

Why have these changes been implemented so quickly and harshly, what in the background are EB concerned about is national funding to them being reduced?

As members (paying members) should we have consultation rights before any changes are implemented onto the members to follow?

Why was there no consultation with the regions

I would like to know the course content of refreshers and how much they will cost the club.

Is the electronic infrastructure robust? What happens in regions with little infrastructure? What happens if systems go down? Who's buying the laptops to run this at club shows? To name a few

why permits not being done regionally

No comment

Why change something that isn't broken

No questions. Only to express ammaesur boxing runs off volunteers. As the NGB, it's incumbent on EB to remove barriers and reduce costs. The opposite is happening - there will be a breaking point.

What will be next to change


Everything was running smoothly from London Boxing why is the change necessary

Why do we need to fix something that works well

Why all this without consultation with regions

Would you be in favour of calling an EGM?

99 responses



- Yes
- No
- Yes, if I will be along with our London r...
- Yes always when applicable.
- Only if postal votes are allowed ,as liv...
- If required
- Not in a position to comment on this